


Hello Ms. Wample -

Since this is LinkedIn, one is restricted to a certain number of letters per post, and can barely make an argument, let alone "show all your data."

Certainly the little table I posted is telling. No, consider this:

Take a look at the recent graph from the National Center for Health Statistics regarding the most recent data for Influenza and Pneumonia Mortality

**Pneumonia and Influenza Mortality from
the National Center for Health Statistics Mortality Surveillance System**
Data through the week ending March 28, 2020, as of April 9, 2020



The flu is similarly caused by a virus, and indeed, the virus is spread throughout a community in exactly the same manner as the SARS CoV-2 virus. Now, if "social distancing" worked, and we have a good handle on the pattern of infection for the flu, we should see a statistically significant reduction in P&I in the US as a direct effect of "social distancing."

Guess what? We are seeing EXACTLY the opposite. Take a look at the graph - see that red line at the far right? That's us and that alone is a very difficult piece of valuable data that the those in favor of "social distancing" cannot overcome .

As far a common sense goes - it is not common sense to sequester healthy people and remove them from circulation during an epidemic (in fact, as were are seeing from the failure of the whole "social distancing" fiasco), it is virtually impossible. It does make sense to sequester sick and infectious persons from the general population to reduce the spread -

Social distancing is largely being ignored around the US even in those states, such as mine, where the Governor has declared a mandatory "stay-at-home" order. The supermarkets, marijuana dispensaries, gas stations, liquor stores, parks, and roads are full, and people are freely moving about. This is good, and it will help to ensure that susceptible people are being exposed so that the incident rate remains high enough to shorten the peak.

And that is now EXACTLY what we see happening - not because of "social distancing" (for which there is NO scientific evidence to support), and but in spite of "social distancing."